arXiv:1702.07259v1 [math.PR] 23 Feb 2017

EXIT PROBLEMS FOR GENERAL DRAW-DOWN TIMES OF SPECTRALLY NEGATIVE LÉVY PROCESSES

BO LI, NHAT LINH VU AND XIAOWEN ZHOU

Abstract. For spectrally negative Lévy processes, we prove several results involving a general draw-down time from the running maximum. In particular, we find expressions of Laplace transforms for two-sided exit problems involving the draw-down time. We also find Laplace transforms for hitting time and creeping time over a maximum related draw-down level, and an associated potential measure. The results are expressed in terms of scale functions.

1. Introduction

As part of fluctuation theory, exit problems for spectrally negative Lévy processes and the associated reflected processes have been studied extensively over the past ten years. Typically, such problems concern the joint distributions of the process when it first leaves a fixed finite or semi-finite interval, or when its draw-down (from the running maximum) time or its draw-up (from the running minimum) time first exceeds a fixed level. These results are often expressed in terms of scale functions. We refer to [7] and references therein for a collection of such results; also see [10], [1], [13] and [8] for research on draw-down times and draw-up times for spectrally negative Lévy processes reflected from the respective running maximum and running minimum processes. We refer to [12] for recent work on draw-down(up) times of regular diffusions.

Exit problems involving more general first passage times have been considered earlier for time homogeneous diffusions. In [9] an exit problem with exit level depending on the running maximum of the diffusion is studied and a joint Laplace transform is found for such a general draw-down time. The general draw-down times find interesting applications in [3] of defining Azéma-Yor martingales to solve the Skorokhod embedding problem. More recent work on applications of draw-down times can be found in [4] and references therein.

The general draw-down times for spectrally negative Lévy processes had been studied in [11] with an excursion theory approach to obtain the Skorohod embedding for spectrally negative Lévy process and for the reflected process from its maximum. In [2] we consider a spectrally negative Lévy risk process with tax and with a draw-down time that is a linear function of the running maximum, and find expressions on the present values of tax for this process. To this end we apply both the excursion theory and an approximation approach using solutions to the exit problems with fixed boundaries.

In this paper we continue to investigate the exit problems for spectrally negative Lévy processes with general draw-down exit times. Adapting the approximation scheme in [2], which is excursion theory at its heart, we find expressions for a joint Laplace transform of the process at the draw-down time. We also find Laplace transforms for the hitting time and creeping time of a random level that also depends on the running maximum. In addition, we obtain expression of a potential measure associated to the draw-down time.

This paper is structured as follows. After the introduction, in Section 2 we review basic facts on spectrally negative Lévy processes to prepare for the main proofs. The main results and proofs

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 60G51; Secondary: 60E10, 60J35.

Key words and phrases. Spectrally negative Lévy process, draw-down time, exit problem, potential measure.

are presented in Section 3. Section 4 focuses on results with linear draw-down functions where the results are more apparent and the previously known results can be easily recovered.

2. Spectrally negative Lévy processes

Let $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0} = (X(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a spectrally negative Lévy process, i.e. a stochastic process with stationary independent increments and with no positive jumps, defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$. We also assume that X is not the negative of a subordinator. Denote by $\mathbb{P}_x$ the probability law of X given $X_0 = x$, and the corresponding expectation by $\mathbb{E}_x$. Write $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{E}$ when $x = 0$. Its Laplace transform always exists with the Laplace exponent given by

$$\psi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{t}\log \mathbb{E}\big(e^{\lambda X_t}\big),$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$, where

$$\psi(\lambda) = \mu\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\lambda^2 + \int_{(-\infty,0)} (e^{\lambda x} - 1 - \lambda x 1_{\{x>-1\}})\pi(\,dx),$$

for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \geq 0$ and the σ-finite Lévy measure π on $(-\infty, 0)$ satisfying $\int_{(-\infty,0)}(1 \wedge x^2)\pi(\,dx) < \infty$. Further, there exists a function $\Phi : [0,\infty) \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ defined by

$$\Phi(q) := \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 : \psi(\lambda) = q\} \qquad \text{for} \quad q \geq 0.$$

Scale functions play a central role in the fluctuation theory of SNLP. For $q \geq 0$, the q-scale function $W^{(q)}$ of X is defined as the function $\mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda y} W^{(q)}(y)\,dy = \frac{1}{\psi(\lambda) - q} \quad \text{for } \lambda > \Phi(q). \tag{1}$$

For convenience, we extend the domain of $W^{(q)}$ to the whole real line by setting $W^{(q)}(x) = 0$ for all $x < 0$. Given $W^{(q)}$, the second scale function is defined by

$$Z^{(q)}(x) := 1 + q\int_0^x W^{(q)}(y)\,dy.$$

Write $W(x) = W^{(0)}(x)$ and $Z(x) = Z^{(0)}(x)$ whenever $q = 0$.

It is well-known that $W(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous, strictly increasing and strictly convex. $W(0) > 0$ if and only if X has paths of bounded variation, and if and only if $\sigma = 0$ and $\int_{-1}^0 |x|\pi(dx) < \infty$. Scale function W is continuously differentiable on $(0,\infty)$ if the process X has paths of unbounded variation (and in particular, if it has a nontrivial Gaussian component) or if X has paths of bounded variation and the Lévy measure has no atoms. Moreover, if $\sigma > 0$, W has continuous derivative of second order on $(0,\infty)$ and $W'(0) = 2/\sigma^2$. We refer the readers to [5] for more detailed discussion on the smoothness of scale functions. Similar conclusion to $W^{(q)}$ can be found from relation $W^{(q)}(x) = e^{\Phi(q)x}W_{\Phi(q)}(x)$ where $W_{\Phi(q)}$ is to be defined below.

For $c \geq 0$, $\{e^{cX_t - \psi(c)t}, t \geq 0\}$ is a martingale under $\mathbb{P}$. Introducing change of measure

$$\left.\frac{d\mathbb{P}^{(c)}}{d\mathbb{P}}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = e^{cX_t - \psi(c)t}, \quad \text{for every } t \geq 0,$$

it is well-known that X is still an SNLP under $\mathbb{P}^{(c)}$. Denoting the associated Laplace exponent and scale functions with a subscript c under $\mathbb{P}^{(c)}$, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\psi_c(s) = \psi(c+s) - \psi(c) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_c(s) = \Phi(s + \psi(c)) - c \quad \text{for} \quad s \geq 0;$$

and for $c \geq 0, q + \psi(c) \geq 0$,

$$W_c^{(q)}(x) = e^{-cx} W^{(q+\psi(c))}(x), \quad Z_c^{(q)}(x) = 1 + q \int_0^x W_c^{(q)}(y)\, dy.$$

The following results can be found in [7]. For any $c \leq x \leq b$ and $u, v, q \geq 0$ with $p = u - \psi(v)$, and for

$$\tau_b^+ := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t > b\}, \quad \tau_c^- := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t < c\}$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_c^- \right) = \frac{W^{(q)}(x-c)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)}, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left(e^{-u\tau_c^- + vX(\tau_c^-)}; \tau_c^- < \tau_b^+ \right) = Z_v^{(p)}(x-c) - \frac{W_v^{(p)}(x-c)}{W_v^{(p)}(b-c)} Z_v^{(p)}(b-c) \tag{3}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left(e^{-q\tau_c^-}; X(\tau_c^-) = c, \tau_c^- < \tau_b^+ \right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(W^{(q)\prime}(x-c) - W^{(q)}(x-c) \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(b-c)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)} \right). \tag{4}$$

In addition, for bounded function f the resolvent of X killed at exiting $[c, b]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} \mathbb{E}_x \left(f(X_t), t < \tau_b^+ \wedge \tau_c^- \right) dt \\ &= \int_c^b f(y) \left(\frac{W^{(q)}(x-c)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)} W^{(q)}(b-y) - W^{(q)}(x-y) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Markov property, it can be checked that for $c < a < b$ and $x \in [c, b]$

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left(e^{-q\tau^{\{a\}}}; \tau^{\{a\}} < \tau_b^+ \wedge \tau_c^- \right) = \frac{W^{(q)}(x-c)}{W^{(q)}(a-c)} - \frac{W^{(q)}(x-a)}{W^{(q)}(b-a)} \frac{W^{(q)}(b-c)}{W^{(q)}(a-c)}, \tag{5}$$

where $\tau^{\{x\}} := \inf\{t > 0, X_t = x\}$ denotes the first hitting time.

3. Main results

Write $\bar{X}_t := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s$ for the running maximum process. Let ξ be a continuous function defined on $\mathbb{R}$. Define the draw-down time with respect to the draw-down function ξ as

$$\tau_\xi := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t < \xi(\bar{X}_t)\}.$$

Write $\bar{\xi}(t) := t - \xi(t)$. We assume that $\bar{\xi}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and W' is continuous in $(0, \infty)$.

In this section we first present expressions for solutions to the two-sided exit problems involving τ_ξ. We mainly focus on the case under $\mathbb{P}$ and with an upper bound $a > 0$. For such a case, the values of $\bar{\xi}(x)$ for $x \in [0, a]$ as well as its continuity and positiveness on $[0, a]$ are what really matter. In addition, throughout the proofs inequalities are usually studied for some interval $[z, b] \subset [0, a]$ and we put

$$\xi_+ := \sup\{\xi(t), t \in (z, b)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_- := \inf\{\xi(t), t \in (z, b)\}.$$

From the continuity of $\bar{\xi}$, there is a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{t \in [0,a]} (t - \xi(t)) > \delta_0 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_- \leq \xi(t) \leq \xi_+ < z < t, \quad \forall t \in (z, b)$$

holds for all $z, b \in [0, a]$ with $(b - z) \leq \delta_0$. Under $\mathbb{P}_z$ we have

$$\tau_{\xi_+}^- \leq \tau_\xi \leq \tau_{\xi_-}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \{\tau_{\xi_-}^- < \tau_b^+\} \subset \{\tau_\xi < \tau_b^+\} \subset \{\tau_{\xi_+}^- < \tau_b^+\}.$$

For the case $(z,b) = (ja/n, (j+1)a/n)$, we write $\xi_+ = \xi_+(n,j)$, $\xi_- = \xi_-(n,j)$. For n large enough, it follows that $ja/n > \xi_+(n,j) \geq \xi_-(n,j)$ for all $j = 0, \cdots, (n-1)$.

For the general case of $\mathbb{P}_x$ and upper bound a with $a > x$, the conclusions can be derived from the spacial homogeneity of X; the proofs of Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $q > 0$ and $x < a$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_x[e^{-q\tau_a^+}; \tau_a^+ < \tau_\xi] = \exp\left(-\int_x^a \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(t))}\, dt\right). \tag{6}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We first consider the case of $x = 0$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have from the strong Markov property of X that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau_a^+}; \tau_a^+ < \tau_\xi\right) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{ja/n}\left(e^{-q\tau^+_{(j+1)a/n}}; \tau^+_{(j+1)a/n} < \tau_\xi\right). \tag{7}$$

We claim that the following inequalities holds

$$-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\vartheta - \xi_+)}{W^{(q)}(\vartheta - \xi_+)}(b-z) \leq \ln\left(\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_\xi\right)\right) \leq -\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\theta - \xi_-)}{W^{(q)}(\theta - \xi_-)}(b-z) \tag{8}$$

for some $\theta, \vartheta \in (z,b)$. Actually, from $\tau_\xi \leq \tau^-_{\xi_-}$ under $\mathbb{P}_z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_\xi\right) &\leq \mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau^-_{\xi_-}\right) = \frac{W^{(q)}(z-\xi_-)}{W^{(q)}(b-\xi_-)} \\ &= \exp\left(\ln(W^{(q)}(z-\xi_-)) - \ln(W^{(q)}(b-\xi_-))\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then the inequality on the right hand of (8) is proved by applying the mean value theorem. Similar argument could be applied to get the inequality on the left hand side.

Applying (8) to every term in (7) with $(z,b) = (ja/n, (j+1)a/n)$, it follows that

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\vartheta_j - \xi_+(n,j))}{W^{(q)}(\vartheta_j - \xi_+(n,j))} n^{-1} \leq \ln\left(\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau_a^+}; \tau_a^+ < \tau_\xi\right)\right) \leq -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\theta_j - \xi_-(n,j))}{W^{(q)}(\theta_j - \xi_-(n,j))} n^{-1}, \tag{9}$$

for some $\theta_j, \vartheta_j \in (\frac{ja}{n}, \frac{(j+1)a}{n})$. Letting $n \to \infty$, the desired result follows from continuity of the functions involved.

For general $x < a$, denoting by $\widetilde{\xi}(t) = \xi(t+x) - x$, that is $\xi(t) = \widetilde{\xi}(t-x) + x$, we have from the spacial homogeneous of X that, $(X_t, \overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}|_{\mathbb{P}_x} = (x + X_t, (x + \overline{X}_t))_{t\geq 0}|_{\mathbb{P}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_x\left(e^{-q\tau_a^+}; \tau_a^+ < \tau_\xi\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^+_{a-x}}; \tau^+_{a-x} < \tau_{\widetilde{\xi}}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\int_0^{a-x} \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t - \widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(t - \widetilde{\xi}(t))}\, dt\right) = \exp\left(-\int_x^a \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t - \xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(t - \xi(t))}\, dt\right),\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

Since all the functions involved are continuous, the sums on both sides of (9) converge to the same Riemann integral, which is also the case in the estimates for the following proofs in this paper. Therefore, we mainly focus on the upper bound for the quantity concerned. The lower bound could be handled following the same procedure. The mean value theorem is also frequently used.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $u, v > 0$, $a > x$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, with $p = u - \psi(v)$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_x[e^{-u\tau_\xi + vX(\tau_\xi) + r\bar{X}(\tau_\xi)}; \tau_\xi < \tau_a^+]$$

$$= e^{vx}\int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar\xi(s))}ds+rt}\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\bar\xi(t))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar\xi(t))}Z_v^{(p)}(\bar\xi(t))-pW_v^{(p)}(\bar\xi(t))\right)dt.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We first prove the desired result under the assumption of $u,v,r>0$ and $p=u-\psi(v)>0$. The general result for all $u,v>0$ and $r\in\mathbb{R}$ follows by analytic extension and the fact $\overline{X}(\tau_\xi)\in[x,a]$.

For $x=0$, by change of measure and the strong Markov property of X, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-u\tau_\xi+vX(\tau_\xi)+r\bar X(\tau_\xi)};\tau_\xi<\tau_a^+\right)=\mathbb{E}^{(v)}\left(e^{-p\tau_\xi+r\bar X(\tau_\xi)};\tau_\xi<\tau_a^+\right)\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}^{(v)}\left(e^{-p\tau_\xi+r\bar X(\tau_\xi)};\tau^+_{ja/n}<\tau_\xi<\tau^+_{(j+1)a/n}\right)\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}^{(v)}\left(e^{-p\tau^+_{ja/n}};\tau^+_{ja/n}<\tau_\xi\right)\mathbb{E}^{(v)}_{ja/n}\left(e^{-p\tau_\xi+r\bar X(\tau_\xi)};\tau_\xi<\tau^+_{(j+1)a/n}\right). \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that in the above sum, by (3) we have for $(z,b)=(ja/n,(j+1)a/n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{(v)}_z\left(e^{-p\tau_\xi+r\bar X(\tau_\xi)};\tau_\xi<\tau_b^+\right)\\
&\le e^{rb}\mathbb{E}^{(v)}_z\left(e^{-p\tau_\xi};\tau_\xi<\tau_b^+\right)\le e^{rb}\mathbb{E}^{(v)}_z\left(e^{-p\tau^-_{\xi_+}};\tau^-_{\xi_+}<\tau_b^+\right)\\
&=e^{rb}\left(Z_v^{(p)}(z-\xi_+)-\frac{W_v^{(p)}(z-\xi_+)}{W_v^{(p)}(b-\xi_+)}Z_v^{(p)}(b-\xi_+)\right)\\
&=e^{rb}\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\vartheta-\xi_+)}{W_v^{(p)}(b-\xi_+)}Z_v^{(p)}(b-\xi_+)-pW_v^{(p)}(\vartheta-\xi_+)\right)(b-z)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\vartheta\in(z,b)$. Plugging the inequality above into (10), we have by Proposition 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-u\tau_\xi+vX(\tau_\xi)+r\bar X(\tau_\xi)};\tau_\xi<\tau_a^+\right)\\
&\le\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\exp\left(-\int_0^{ja/n}\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar\xi(s))}ds\right)\exp\left(r\frac{(j+1)a}{n}\right)\frac{1}{n}\\
&\times\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\vartheta_j-\xi_+(n,j))}{W_v^{(p)}(\frac{(j+1)a}{n}-\xi_+(n,j))}Z_v^{(p)}\Big(\frac{(j+1)a}{n}-\xi_+(n,j)\Big)-pW_v^{(p)}(\vartheta_j-\xi_+(n,j))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\vartheta_j\in(\frac{ja}{n},\frac{(j+1)a}{n})$, which converges to

$$\int_0^a e^{-\int_0^t\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar\xi(s))}ds+rt}\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W_v^{(p)}(t-\xi(t))}Z_v^{(p)}(t-\xi(t))-pW_v^{(p)}(t-\xi(t))\right)dt$$

as $n\to\infty$.

By the similar procedure, one can have the same lower bound and the formula for $0=x<a$ is proved.

For general $x<a$, write $\widetilde\xi(t)=\xi(t+x)-x$, i.e. $\xi(t)=\widetilde\xi(t-x)+x$. Since X under $\mathbb{P}_x$ is the law of $x+X$ under $\mathbb{P}$, we have

$$(\tau_\xi,X_{\tau_\xi},\bar X_{\tau_\xi})|_{\mathbb{P}_x}\overset{d}{=}(\tau_{\widetilde\xi},x+X_{\tau_{\widetilde\xi}},x+\bar X_{\tau_{\widetilde\xi}})|_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_x[e^{-u\tau_\xi+vX(\tau_\xi)+r\bar{X}(\tau_\xi)};\,\tau_\xi<\tau_a^+] = e^{(v+r)x}\mathbb{E}[e^{-u\tau_{\widetilde{\xi}}+vX(\tau_{\widetilde{\xi}})+r\bar{X}(\tau_{\widetilde{\xi}})};\,\tau_{\widetilde{\xi}}<\tau_{a-x}^+]\\
&= e^{(v+r)x}\int_0^{a-x} e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(s-\widetilde{\xi}(s))}{W_v^{(p)}(s-\widetilde{\xi}(s))}\,ds+rt}\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W_v^{(p)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}Z_v^{(p)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))-pW_v^{(p)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))\right)dt\\
&= e^{vx}\int_x^a e^{-\int_0^{t-x} \frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(s-\widetilde{\xi}(s))}{W_v^{(p)}(s-\widetilde{\xi}(s))}\,ds+rt}\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W_v^{(p)}(t-\xi(t))}Z_v^{(p)}(t-\xi(t))-pW_v^{(p)}(t-\xi(t))\right)dt\\
&= e^{vx}\int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(s-\xi(s))}{W_v^{(p)}(s-\xi(s))}\,ds+rt}\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(t))}Z_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(t))-pW_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(t))\right)dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. □

We now find an expression for the potential measure. To which end, we introduce

$$W^{(q)}f(x,y) := \int_y^x f(z)W^{(q)}(x-z)\,dz$$

for any bounded and continuous nonnegative function f. It can be checked directly that, for $x>y$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x W^{(q)}f(x,y) &:= \lim_{u\to x+}\frac{W^{(q)}f(u,y)-W^{(q)}f(x,y)}{u-x}\\
&= f(x)W(0)+\int_y^x f(z)W^{(q)\prime}(x-z)\,dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3. *For any $a>x$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}_x\left(X_t\in dy, t<\tau_\xi\wedge\tau_a^+\right)dt\\
&= \left(\int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}\,ds}\left(W^{(q)\prime}(t-y)-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(t))}W^{(q)}(t-y)\right)1_{\{t>y>\xi(t)\}}\,dt\right)dy\\
&\quad+\left(W(0)e^{-\int_x^y \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}\,ds}1_{\{a>y>x\}}\right)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. *Here, the resolvent density consists of two parts, where the second term is nontrivial for the case of X having bounded variation. By further analyzing, one can find that it is contributed by the time spent in $\mathcal{L}:=\{t>0, X(t)=\overline{X}(t)\}$ before $\tau_\xi\wedge\tau_a^+$, that is*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}1_{\{t\in\mathcal{L}\}}1_{\{t<\tau_\xi\wedge\tau_a^+\}}1_{\{X(t)\in dy\}}\,dt.$$

For the case $W(0)=0$, we have $\mathbb{P}(Les(\mathcal{L})=0)=1$.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. For $x=0$, applying the Markov property at every $\tau^+_{ja/n}$, we have for any bounded and continuous nonnegative function f,

$$\begin{aligned}
&q\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_t);t<\tau_\xi\wedge\tau_a^+\right)dt\\
=&\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{e_q});e_q<\tau_\xi\wedge\tau_a^+\right)\\
=&\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{e_q});\tau^+_{ja/n}<e_q<\tau^+_{(j+1)a/n};e_q<\tau_\xi\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^+_{ja/n} < e_q \wedge \tau_\xi\right) \mathbb{E}_{ja/n}\left(f(X_{e_q}); e_q < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau^+_{(j+1)a/n}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^+_{ja/n}}; \tau^+_{ja/n} < \tau_\xi\right) \mathbb{E}_{ja/n}\left(f(X_{e_q}); e_q < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau^+_{(j+1)a/n}\right), \tag{11}$$

where e_q is an exponentially distributed random variable independent of X.

For the second terms in the sum above, since

$$\tau_\xi \le \tau^-_{\xi_-(n,j)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\xi, \frac{(j+1)a}{n}) \subset (\xi_-(n,j), \frac{(j+1)a}{n}),$$

it is dominated from above by the following quantity with $(z,b,c) = (\frac{ja}{n}, \frac{(j+1)a}{n}, \xi_-(n,j))$. For $c < z < b$, we have by the mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
&q\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{E}_z\left(f(X_t); t < \tau_b^+ \wedge \tau_c^-\right) dt \\
&= q\left(\frac{W^{(q)}(z-c)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)} W^{(q)} f(b,c) - W^{(q)} f(z,c)\right) \\
&= q\left(\partial_x W^{(q)} f(\theta, c) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\theta - c)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)} W^{(q)} f(b,c)\right)(b-z), \quad \text{for some } \theta \in (z,b).
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the above inequality into (11) and applying Proposition 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_t); t < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right) dt \\
&\le \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \exp\left(-\int_0^{ja/n} \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))} ds\right) \frac{1}{n} \\
&\quad \times \left(\partial_x W^{(q)} f(\theta_j, \xi_-(n,j)) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\theta_j - \xi_-(n,j))}{W^{(q)}(\frac{(j+1)a}{n} - \xi_-(n,j))} W^{(q)} f\Big(\frac{(j+1)a}{n}, \xi_-(n,j)\Big)\right) \\
&\to \int_0^a \exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))} ds\right)\left(\partial_x W^{(q)} f(t, \xi(t)) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t))} W^{(q)} f(t, \xi(t))\right) dt
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. With the same lower bound, we eventually have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}\left(X_t \in dy, t < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right) dt \\
&= \left\{\int_0^a e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))} ds}\left(W^{(q)\prime}(t-y) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t))} W^{(q)}(t-y)\right) 1_{\{t>y>\xi(t)\}} dt\right\} dy \\
&\quad + W(0) e^{-\int_0^y \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))} ds} 1_{\{a>y>0\}} dy,
\end{aligned}$$

since $t > \xi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. And this completes the proof for $x = 0$.

The proof for $x < a$ is left to the interested readers. □

Remark 3.2. *Applying Fubini's theorem, we have*

$$q\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\left(\int_{-\infty}^a \mathbb{P}_x\left(X_t \in dy, t < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right)\right) dt$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_x\left(e_q < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right) = 1 - \mathbb{P}_x\left(e_q > \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right) = 1 - \mathbb{E}_x\left(e^{-q(\tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+)}\right). \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, applying Proposition 3.3, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&q\int_{-\infty}^{a}\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}_x\left(X_t \in dy, t < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right)\, dt\right)\\
&= q\int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))}\,ds}\left(W(0) + \int_{\xi(t)}^t\left(W^{(q)\prime}(t-y) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t))}W^{(q)}(t-y)\right)dy\right)dt\\
&= \int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))}\,ds}\left(qW^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t)) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t))}\left(Z^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t)) - 1\right)\right)dt\\
&= \int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))}\,ds}\left(qW^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t)) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t))}Z^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t))\right)dt\\
&\quad + 1 - \exp\left(-\int_x^a \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t))}\,dt\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which coincides with (12) by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

Remark 3.3. *For the case $\xi(t) \equiv c$ for some $c < x$ and all $t > x$, we have from the conclusion above that the support of resolvent is a subset of $[c, a]$. While for $y \in (c, a)$, noting that $y > \xi(t)$ for all $t \in (x, a)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))}\,ds}\left(W^{(q)\prime}(t-y) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(t))}W^{(q)}(t-y)\right)1_{\{t>y>\xi(t)\}}\,dt\\
&= \int_{x\vee y}^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-c)}{W^{(q)}(s-c)}\,ds}\left(W^{(q)\prime}(t-y) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-c)}{W^{(q)}(t-c)}W^{(q)}(t-y)\right)dt\\
&= \left(e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-c)}{W^{(q)}(s-c)}\,ds}W^{(q)}(t-y)\right)\Bigg|_{x\vee y}^a = W^{(q)}(x-c)\left(\frac{W^{(q)}(t-y)}{W^{(q)}(t-c)}\right)\Bigg|_{x\vee y}^a\\
&= \frac{W^{(q)}(x-c)}{W^{(q)}(a-c)}W^{(q)}(a-y) - W^{(q)}(x-y)1_{\{x\geq y\}} - W(0)\frac{W^{(q)}(x-c)}{W^{(q)}(y-c)}1_{\{y>x\}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$W(0)e^{-\int_x^y \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar\xi(s))}\,ds}1_{\{y>x\}} = W(0)e^{-\int_x^y \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-c)}{W^{(q)}(s-c)}\,ds}1_{\{y>x\}} = W(0)\frac{W^{(q)}(x-c)}{W^{(q)}(y-c)}1_{\{y>x\}}.$$

Taking use of the fact that $W^{(q)}(z) = 0$ for $z < 0$ again, we recover the expression of the classical potential density:

$$\frac{W^{(q)}(x-c)}{W^{(q)}(a-c)}W^{(q)}(a-y) - W^{(q)}(x-y).$$

We now consider hitting probability of a level. For $c < x$, event $\{\tau^{\{c\}} = \tau_\xi\}$ happens only if X creeps at level c and down-crosses ξ at the same time, in which case X has a nontrivial Brownian part and J_c has a positive Lebesgue measure. For the following result concerning $\{\tau^{\{c\}} = \tau_\xi\}$, we assume that $\sigma > 0$, $\mathrm{Les}(J_c) > 0$ and $W^{(q)} \in C^2(0,\infty)$.

Proposition 3.4 (Hitting of a fixed level)**.** *For $c < x < a$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}; \tau^{\{c\}} < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right)$$

$$= \int_{(x,a)\cap I_c} e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-\xi(s)\vee c)}{W^{(q)}(s-\xi(s)\vee c)}\,ds} \left(\frac{W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))W^{(q)\prime}(t-c)}{W^{(q)}(c-\xi(t))W^{(q)}(t-c)} - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(c-\xi(t))} \right) dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_x\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}; \tau^{\{c\}} = \tau_\xi < \tau_a^+\right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \int_{(x,a)\cap J_c} e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-\xi(s)\vee c)}{W^{(q)}(s-\xi(s)\vee c)}\,ds} \left(\frac{(W^{(q)\prime}(t-c))^2}{W^{(q)}(t-c)} - W^{(q)\prime\prime}(t-c) \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_c = \{t \in \mathbb{R}, \xi(t) < c\}$ *and* $J_c = \{t \in \mathbb{R}, \xi(t) = c\}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.4. For $x = 0$, consider a sequence of partitions $\{\Delta_n\}_{n\geq 2}$ on interval $[0, a]$ with $\Delta_n = \{x_j^{(n)}\}_{j\geq 0}$, such that Δ_{n+1} is a refinement of Δ_n and $\{x_j^{(n)}\} \cap \partial J_c = \emptyset$, that is $\Delta_n \subset \Delta_{n+1}$ and where ∂J_c is the collection of boundary points of J_c. Write $|\Delta_n| := \max_j |x_j^{(n)} - x_{j-1}^{(n)}|$. It follows from the continuity of ξ that J_c is closed, I_c is open and ∂J_c is a countable set. Actually, if J_c is a Lebesgue null set, $\mathrm{Les}(J_c) = 0$, $x_j^{(n)} \notin J_c$ of all n, j.

$$\bigcup_{[x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)}]\subset I_c} (x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)}) \to I_c, \quad \mathrm{Les}\Big(J_c \setminus \bigcup_{(x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)})\subset J_c} (x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)})\Big) \to 0 \quad \text{as } |\Delta_n| \to 0. \tag{13}$$

On the set $\{\tau^{\{c\}} < \tau_\xi\}$, $\bar{X}(\tau^{\{c\}}) \in I_c$, we have from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}; \tau^{\{c\}} < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right) \\ &= \lim_{|\Delta_n|\to 0} \sum_{[x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)}]\subset I_c} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^+_{x_j^{(n)}}}; \tau^+_{x_j^{(n)}} \leq \tau_\xi \wedge \tau^{\{c\}}\right) \mathbb{E}_{x_j^{(n)}}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}, \tau^{\{c\}} < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau^+_{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}\right) \\ &= \lim_{|\Delta_n|\to 0} \sum_{[x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)}]\subset I_c} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^+_{x_j^{(n)}}}; \tau^+_{x_j^{(n)}} \leq \tau_{\xi\vee c}\right) \mathbb{E}_{x_j^{(n)}}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}, \tau^{\{c\}} < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau^+_{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the fact

$$\{\tau_x^+ \leq \tau_\xi \wedge \tau^{\{c\}}\} = \{\tau_x^+ \leq \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_c^-\} = \{\tau_x^+ \leq \tau_{\xi\vee c}\}$$

is used. On the other hand, each of the second term in the above sum is bounded from above by the term blow with $(z, b) = (x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)})$. Given $c > \xi_+ \geq \xi_-$ for every j and n, it follows from (5) and the mean value theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}; \tau^{\{c\}} < \tau_{\xi_-}^- \wedge \tau_b^+\right) \\ &= \frac{W^{(q)}(z-\xi_-)}{W^{(q)}(c-\xi_-)} - \frac{W^{(q)}(z-c)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)} \frac{W^{(q)}(b-\xi_-)}{W^{(q)}(c-\xi_-)} \\ &= \left(\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\theta-c)W^{(q)}(b-\xi_-)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)W^{(q)}(c-\xi_-)} - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\theta-\xi_-)}{W^{(q)}(c-\xi_-)} \right)(b-z) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for some $\theta \in (z, b)$. Substituting (15) into (14), applying Proposition 3.1 and passing to the limit could give the desired upper bound. We can also find a similar lower bound.

Following a similar argument, on the set $\{\tau^{\{c\}} = \tau_\xi\}$, $\bar{X}_{\tau_\xi} \in J_c$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}; \tau^{\{c\}} = \tau_\xi < \tau_a^+\right)$$

$$= \lim_{|\Delta_n|\to 0} \sum_{(x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)})\subset J_c} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^+_{x_j^{(n)}}}; \tau^+_{x_j^{(n)}} \le \tau_{\xi\vee c}\right) \mathbb{E}_{x_j^{(n)}}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}, \tau^{\{c\}} = \tau_\xi < \tau^+_{x_{j+1}^{(n)}}\right), \tag{16}$$

where the sum over an empty set is defined to be 0. Observing that $\xi(t) \equiv c$ for $t \in (z, b) = (x_j^{(n)}, x_{j+1}^{(n)}) \subset J_c$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}; \tau^{\{c\}} = \tau_\xi < \tau_b^+\right)\\
=&\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{c\}}}; \tau^{\{c\}} = \tau_c^- < \tau_b^+\right)\\
=&\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\left(W^{(q)\prime}(z-c) - W^{(q)}(z-c)\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(b-c)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)}\right)\\
=&\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\left(W^{(q)\prime}(\vartheta-c)\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(b-c)}{W^{(q)}(b-c)} - W^{(q)\prime\prime}(\vartheta-c)\right)(b-z) \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\vartheta \in (z, b)$. Substituting (17) into (16), applying Proposition 3.1 and passing to the limit could give the desired formulas. This completes the proof. □

Denote by

$$\tau^{\{\xi\}} := \inf\{t > 0, X_t = \xi(\bar{X}_t)\}$$

the first time for X to hit level $\xi(\bar{X})$. The following result of hitting a maximum dependent level generalises Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 3.5 (Hitting of a maximum dependent level)**.** *Let $\widetilde{\xi}$ be another continuous function such that $\widetilde{\xi}(t) < \xi(t) < t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have for $a > x$*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_x\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}}; \tau^{\{\xi\}} < \tau_{\widetilde{\xi}} \wedge \tau_a^+\right)\\
=&\int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(s-\xi(s))}ds}\left(\frac{W^{(q)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))W^{(q)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\xi(t)-\widetilde{\xi}(t))W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))} - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\xi(t)-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}\right)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Let $0 = x < a$, z, b be defined as before and $c < z \wedge \xi_-$. As observed in [6] that

$$\{\tau^{\{\xi\}} < \tau_b^+ < \infty\} = \{\tau_\xi < \tau_b^+ < \infty\},$$

on which $X_{\tau^{\{\xi\}}} = \xi(\bar{X}_{\tau^{\{\xi\}}}) \in [\xi_-, \xi_+]$. Applying the Markov property at $\tau^{\{\xi\}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_c^-\right) = \mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_c^- \wedge \tau_\xi\right) + \mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_\xi < \tau_b^+ < \tau_c^-\right)\\
=&\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_\xi\right) + \mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}}\mathbb{E}_{X(\tau^{\{\xi\}})}\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_c^-\right); \tau^{\{\xi\}} < \tau_b^+ \wedge \tau_c^-\right)\\
\ge&\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_{\xi_+}^-\right) + \mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}}; \tau^{\{\xi\}} < \tau_b^+ \wedge \tau_c^-\right)\mathbb{E}_{\xi_-}\left(e^{-q\tau_b^+}; \tau_b^+ < \tau_c^-\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have an upper bound given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}}; \tau^{\{\xi\}} < \tau_b^+ \wedge \tau_c^-\right)\\
\le&\frac{W^{(q)}(z-c)}{W^{(q)}(\xi_- - c)} - \frac{W^{(q)}(z-\xi_+)}{W^{(q)}(b-\xi_+)}\frac{W^{(q)}(b-c)}{W^{(q)}(\xi_- - c)}\\
=&\left(\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\vartheta-\xi_+)W^{(q)}(b-c)}{W^{(q)}(b-\xi_+)W^{(q)}(\xi_- - c)} - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\vartheta-c)}{W^{(q)}(\xi_- - c)}\right)(b-z) \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\vartheta \in (z,b)$ from the mean value theorem.

Now, applying the Markov property at each $\tau^+_{ja/n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}};\tau^{\{\xi\}}<\tau_{\widetilde{\xi}}\wedge\tau_a^+\right)\\ &=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^+_{\frac{ja}{n}}};\tau^+_{\frac{ja}{n}}<\tau_\xi\right)\mathbb{E}_{\frac{ja}{n}}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}};\tau^{\{\xi\}}<\tau_{\widetilde{\xi}}\wedge\tau^+_{\frac{(j+1)a}{n}}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

For large n, it is true that $\widetilde{\xi}_-(n,j)<\frac{ja}{n}\wedge\xi_-(n,j)$ for all $j=0,\cdots,n-1$, from the uniform continuity of functions involved. The second term in (19) is dominated from above by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_{ja/n}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}};\tau^{\{\xi\}}<\tau^+_{(j+1)a/n}\wedge\tau^-_{\widetilde{\xi}_-(n,j)}\right)\\ &\le\left(\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\vartheta_j-\xi_+(n,j))}{W^{(q)}(\frac{(j+1)a}{n}-\xi_+(n,j))}\frac{W^{(q)}(\frac{(j+1)a}{n}-\widetilde{\xi}_-(n,j))}{W^{(q)}(\xi_-(n,j)-\widetilde{\xi}_-(n,j))}-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\vartheta_j-\widetilde{\xi}_-(n,j))}{W^{(q)}(\xi_-(n,j)-\widetilde{\xi}_-(n,j))}\right)\frac{1}{n}\end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta_j\in(\frac{ja}{n},\frac{(j+1)a}{n})$ by applying (18). Substituting it into (19), applying Proposition 3.1 and passing $n\to\infty$, we obtain an upper bound given by

$$\int_0^a e^{-\int_0^t\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(s-\xi(s))}ds}\left(\frac{W^{(q)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))W^{(q)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\xi(t)-\widetilde{\xi}(t))W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))}-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\xi(t)-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}\right)dt.$$

With the same lower bound derived, this completes the proof. □

Creeping happens for a spectrally negative Lévy process when the first downward passage over a level occurs by hitting the level with a positive probability. It is well known that the creeping of a fixed level happens only if $\sigma>0$, i.e. process X has a nontrivial Brownian component, and in this case $W^{(q)\prime}(0)=2/\sigma^2$.

With Proposition 3.5, we are ready to attack the problem of creeping with respect to a maximum dependent level. The next result shows that such a creeping happens only if X has a nontrivial Brownian component.

Proposition 3.6 (Creeping at a maximum dependent level)**.** *For $a>x$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_x\left(e^{-q\tau_\xi};\tau^{\{\xi\}}=\tau_\xi<\tau_a^+\right)\\ &=\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\int_x^a\exp\left(-\int_x^t\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}ds\right)\left(\frac{\left(W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))\right)^2}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(t))}-W^{(q)\prime\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))\right)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proportion 3.6. Taking $\widetilde{\xi}=\xi-\varepsilon$ in Proposition 3.5 for small $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}};\tau^{\{\xi\}}<\tau_{\xi-\varepsilon}\wedge\tau_a^+\right)\\ &=\int_x^a e^{-\int_x^t\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-\xi(s))}{W^{(q)}(s-\xi(s))}ds}\frac{W^{(q)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\xi(t)-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}\left(\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))}-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}\right)dt.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from our definition that, for every $t\in(x,b)$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{W^{(q)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\xi(t)-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}\left(\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))}-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}\right)\\ &=\frac{\varepsilon W^{(q)}(t+\varepsilon-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\varepsilon)}\left(\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))}-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t+\varepsilon-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(t+\varepsilon-\xi(t))}\right)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\end{aligned}$$

$$\to \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\left(\frac{\left(W^{(q)\prime}(t-\xi(t))\right)^2}{W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))} - W^{(q)\prime\prime}(t-\xi(t))\right)$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The conclusion follows from the right continuity of X and the dominated convergence theorem since all the functions involved are continuous. □

Remark 3.4. *Comparing Proposition 3.4 with 3.5, it is not hard to guess and verify that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\widetilde{\xi}\}}}; \tau^{\{\widetilde{\xi}\}} < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right)\\ &= \int_{(0,a)\cap I_{\widetilde{\xi}}} e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-\xi(s)\vee\widetilde{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(s-\xi(s)\vee\widetilde{\xi}(s))}ds} \frac{W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(\widetilde{\xi}(t)-\xi(t))}\left(\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))} - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(t-\xi(t))}{W^{(q)}(t-\xi(t))}\right)dt,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\widetilde{\xi}\}}}; \tau^{\{\widetilde{\xi}\}} = \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right)\\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\int_{(0,a)\cap J_{\widetilde{\xi}}} e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(s-\xi(s)\vee\widetilde{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(s-\xi(s)\vee\widetilde{\xi}(s))}ds}\left(\frac{(W^{(q)\prime}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t)))^2}{W^{(q)}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))} - W^{(q)\prime\prime}(t-\widetilde{\xi}(t))\right)dt,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_{\widetilde{\xi}} = \{t\in\mathbb{R}, \xi(t) < \widetilde{\xi}(t)\} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{\widetilde{\xi}} = \{t\in\mathbb{R}, \xi(t) = \widetilde{\xi}(t)\},$$

for any continuous function $\widetilde{\xi}$ satisfying $\widetilde{\xi}(t) < t$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}$, and which generalises Proposition 3.4.

4. Results for linear draw-down function ξ

In this section, we present our results under $\mathbb{P}$ with some upper bound $a > 0$ and $\xi(t) = \xi t - d,\ t \in [0,a]$ for constants ξ and d satisfying

$$d > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (1-\xi)a + d > 0.$$

Borrowing a notion from [2], the passage time τ_ξ reduces to

$$\tau_{d,\xi} := \inf\left\{t \geq 0 : X(t) < \xi\bar{X}(t) - d\right\}.$$

For the case of $\xi \neq 1$, we have the following results on the passage times and the creeping time.

Corollary 4.1. *For $\xi \neq 1$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau_a^+};\ \tau_a^+ < \tau_\xi\right) = \left(\frac{W^{(q)}(d)}{W^{(q)}((1-\xi)a+d)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}}, \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-u\tau_\xi + vX(\tau_\xi)}; \tau_\xi < \tau_a^+\right) &= Z_v^{(p)}(d) - Z_v^{(p)}((1-\xi)a+d)\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)}(d)}{W_v^{(p)}((1-\xi)a+d)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}}\\ &\quad - p\xi\int_0^a \left(W_v^{(p)}(d)\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}}\left(W_v^{(p)}((1-\xi)t+d)\right)^{\frac{-\xi}{1-\xi}}dt,\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-q\tau^{\{\xi\}}}; \tau^{\{\xi\}} = \tau_\xi < \tau_a^+\right) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\left(W^{(q)\prime}(d) - W^{(q)\prime}((1-\xi)a+d)\left(\frac{W^{(q)}(d)}{W^{(q)}((1-\xi)a+d)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}}\right.\\ &\quad \left. -\xi\int_0^a \left(\frac{W^{(q)}(d)}{W^{(q)}((1-\xi)t+d)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}} W^{(q)\prime\prime}((1-\xi)t+d)\,dt\right).\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Further, for $\xi > 0$ the following resolvent measure has support $[-d, a]$ and is given by, for $-d < y < a$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}\left(X_t \in dy, t < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right) dt\\ &= \left(W^{(q)}\Big(a \wedge \frac{d+y}{\xi} - y\Big)\Big(\frac{W^{(q)}(d)}{W^{(q)}\big((1-\xi)(a \wedge \frac{d+y}{\xi}) + d\big)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}} - W^{(q)}(-y)\right) dy;\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and for $\xi < 0$ the resolvent measure has support $[\xi a - d, a]$ and is given by, for $\xi a - d < y < a$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}\left(X_t \in dy, t < \tau_\xi \wedge \tau_a^+\right) dt\\ &= \left(W^{(q)}(a-y)\Big(\frac{W^{(q)}(d)}{W^{(q)}((1-\xi)a + d)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}}\right.\\ &\quad \left. - W^{(q)}\Big(0 \vee \frac{d+y}{\xi} - y\Big)\Big(\frac{W^{(q)}(d)}{W^{(q)}\big((1-\xi)(0 \vee \frac{d+y}{\xi}) + d\big)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}}\right) dy.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Proof of Corollary 4.1. For this case, $\bar{\xi}'(t) = 1 - \xi$, we have

$$\int_0^a \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(t))}(1-\xi)\, dt = \Big(\ln\big(W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(t))\big)\Big)\Big|_0^a$$

which gives (20) by applying Proposition 3.1.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^a e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}\, ds}\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(t))} Z_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(t)) - p(1-\xi)W_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(t))\right) dt\\ &= \left(-Z_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(t))e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}\, ds}\right)\Bigg|_0^a = Z_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(0)) - Z_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(a))\left(\frac{W_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(0))}{W_v^{(p)}(\bar{\xi}(a))}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}}\end{aligned}$$

which gives (21) by applying Proposition 3.2.

Applying Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^a \exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}\, ds\right)\left(\frac{\big(W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))\big)^2}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(t))} - (1-\xi)W^{(q)\prime\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))\right) dt\\ &= \left(-W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}\, ds}\right)\Bigg|_0^a = W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(0)) - W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(a))\left(\frac{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(0))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(a))}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}}\end{aligned}$$

which will give (22) and completes the proofs.

For $\xi > 0$, the support of resolvent must be or a subset of $[-d, a]$. $\{y > \xi(t)\} = \{(d+y)\xi^{-1} > t\}$. Applying Proposition 3.3 and by integration by parts we have for $y \in (-d, a)$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^a e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}\, ds}\Big(W^{(q)\prime}(t-y) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(t))}W^{(q)}(t-y)\Big)1_{\{t>y>\xi(t)\}}\, dt\\ &= \int_{0\vee y}^{a\wedge\frac{d+y}{\xi}} e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))}\, ds}\Big(W^{(q)\prime}(t-y) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(t))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(t))}W^{(q)}(t-y)\Big)\, dt\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(e^{-\int_0^t \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))} ds} W^{(q)}(t-y) \right) \Bigg|_{0\vee y}^{a\wedge \frac{d+y}{\xi}}$$

$$= W^{(q)}(a \wedge \frac{d+y}{\xi} - y) \left(\frac{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(0))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(a \wedge \frac{d+y}{\xi}))} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\xi}} - W^{(q)}(-y) 1_{\{0 \geq y\}}$$

$$- W(0) e^{-\int_0^y \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(\bar{\xi}(s))}{W^{(q)}(\bar{\xi}(s))} ds} 1_{\{y>0\}}.$$

With the fact $W^{(q)}(z) = 0$ for $z < 0$, (23) follows.

Identity (24) can be proved similarly. □

Remark 4.1. *Letting $\xi = 0$ in Corollary 4.1 we recover the classical results in Section 2.*

For the case $\xi = 1$, τ_ξ reduce to the first passage times of SNLP reflected at its supremum which was investigated in [1, 10]. Denoting by $Y_t = \bar{X}_t - X_t$, then $\tau_\xi = \kappa_d^+$ for

$$\kappa_x^+ := \inf\{t > 0, Y_t > x\}, \quad x > 0.$$

Corollary 4.2. *For $d > 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{-q\tau_a^+}; \tau_a^+ < \kappa_d^+\big) = \exp\left(-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)} a \right), \tag{25}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{-u\kappa_d^+ + vX(\kappa_d^+) + r\bar{X}(\kappa_d^+)}; \kappa_d^+ < \tau_a^+\big) = \left(\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(d)}{W_v^{(p)}(d)} Z_v^{(p)}(d) - pW_v^{(p)}(d) \right) \frac{1 - e^{\left(r - \frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(d)}{W_v^{(p)}(d)}\right)a}}{\frac{W_v^{(p)\prime}(d)}{W_v^{(p)}(d)} - r} \tag{26}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{-q\kappa_d^+}; \kappa^{\{d\}} = \kappa_d^+ < \tau_a^+\big) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(W^{(q)\prime}(d) - \frac{W^{(q)}(d) W^{(q)\prime\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)\prime}(d)} \right) \left(1 - e^{-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)} a}\right), \tag{27}$$

where $\kappa^{\{d\}} := \inf\{t > 0, Y_t = d\}$ is the first hitting time of d for Y. And we have for $-d < y < a$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} \mathbb{P}\left(X_t \in dy, t < \kappa_d^+ \wedge \tau_a^+\right) dt$$

$$= \left(W^{(q)}(d \wedge (a-y)) e^{-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}(y+d)\wedge a} - W^{(q)}(-y) \right) dy. \tag{28}$$

Proof of Corollary 4.2. In this case $\bar{\xi}(t) \equiv d$, the desired results follow directly from Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.6 and 3.3, respectively, where an argument similar to Remark 3.3 is applied in obtaining (28). □

Remark 4.2. *From (25), we have $\bar{X}_{e_q \wedge \kappa_d^+}$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}$, since*

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{-q\tau_a^+}; \tau_a^+ < \kappa_d^+\big) = \mathbb{P}\big(\tau_a^+ < e_q \wedge \kappa_d^+\big) = \mathbb{P}\big(\bar{X}_{e_q \wedge \kappa_d^+} > a\big).$$

Taking $r = -v$ in (26) and letting $a \to \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{-u\kappa_d^+ - vY(\kappa_d^+)}; \kappa_d^+ < \tau_a^+\big) = Z_v^{(p)}(d) - W_v^{(p)}(d) \frac{pW_v^{(p)}(d) + vZ_v^{(p)}(d)}{W_v^{(p)\prime}(d) + vW_v^{(p)}(d)},$$

which coincides with [1, Theorem 1].

Remark 4.3. *For $0 < a - y < d$, (28) could be rewritten as*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_{e_q} \in dy, e_q < \kappa_d^+, \bar{X}_{e_q} < a\right) = q\left(W^{(q)}(a-y)e^{-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}a} - W^{(q)}(-y)\right) dy$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_{e_q} \in dy, e_q < \kappa_d^+, \bar{X}_{e_q} \in da\right) = q\left(W^{(q)\prime}(a-y) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}W^{(q)}(a-y)\right) e^{-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}a}\, dy\, da.$$

Therefore, for $z \in (0,d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{e_q} \in dz, e_q < \kappa_d^+\right) &= q\left(W^{(q)\prime}(z) - \frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}W^{(q)}(z)\right)\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}a}\, da \\ &= q\left(W^{(q)}(d)\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(z)}{W^{(q)\prime}(d)} - W^{(q)}(z)\right) dz,\end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the resolvent given in [10, Theorem 1.ii].

Remark 4.4. *By Remark 3.1, for the case $W(0) > 0$, we have for $y \in (0,a)$*

$$\mathbb{P}(e_q < \kappa_d^+ \wedge \tau_a^+, \bar{X}(e_q) \in dy, Y(e_q) = 0) = qW(0)e^{-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}y}\, dy.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(e_q < \kappa_d^+ \wedge \tau_a^+, Y(e_q) = 0) = \ q\frac{W(0)W^{(q)}(d)}{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}\left(1 - e^{-\frac{W^{(q)\prime}(d)}{W^{(q)}(d)}a}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y(e_q) = 0, e_q < \kappa_d^+) = \ qW(0)\frac{W^{(q)}(d)}{W^{(q)\prime}(d)},$$

which gives the time Y spent at 0 before κ_d^+ and coincides with [10, Theorem 1. (ii)].

References

[1] F. Avram, A. E. Kyprianou, and M. R. Pistorius. Exit problems for spectrally negative Lévy processes and applications to (canadized) russian options. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 14(1):215–238, 2004.

[2] F. Avram, N.L. Vu and X. Zhou. On taxed spectrally negative Lévy processes with draw-down stopping. Submitted.

[3] J. Azéma and M. Yor. Une solution simple au probleme de skorokhod. In *Séminaire de probabilités* XIII, pages 90-115. Springer, 1979.

[4] L. Carraro, N. El Karoui, and J. Oblój. On Azéma-Yor processes, their optimal properties and the bachelier-drawdown equation. *The Annals of Probability*, 40(1):372-400, 2012.

[5] T. Chan, A.E. Kyprianou, and M. Savov. Smoothness of scale functions for spectrally negative Lévy processes. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 150(3-4):691–708, 2011.

[6] J. Ivanovs and Z. Palmowski. Occupation densities in solving exit problems for markov additive processes and their reflections. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 122(9):3342–3360, Sep 2012.

[7] A. E. Kyprianou. *Fluctuations of Lévy Processes with Applications*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.

[8] D. Landriault, B. Li, and H. Zhang. On magnitude, asymptotics and duration of draw-downs for Lévy models. *Bernoulli*, Forthcoming, 2015.

[9] J. P. Lehoczky. Formulas for stopped diffusion processes with stopping times based on the maximum. *The Annals of Probability*, 5(4):601-607, 1977.

[10] M. R. Pistorius. On exit and ergodicity of the spectrally one-sided Lévy process reflected at its infimum. Journal of Theoretical Probability, 17(1):183–220, 2002.

[11] M. Pistorius. An excursion-theoretical approach to some boundary crossing problems and the skorokhod embedding for refrected Lévy processes. In *Séminaire de Probabilités* XL, pages 287-307. Springer, 2007.

[12] H. Zhang. Occupation times, drawdowns, and drawups for one-dimensional regular diffusions. *Advances in Applied Probability* , 47(1):210–230, 2015.
[13] X. Zhou. Exit problems for spectrally negative Lévy processes reflected at either the supremum or the infimum. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 44(4): 1012–1030, 2007.

Bo Li: School of Mathematical Science, Nankai University, China. Nhat Linh Vu and Xiaowen Zhou: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University, Canada.